arXiv:1102.2420v3 [math.GT] 2 May 2011

Mutation and recombination for hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Thilo Kuessner

Abstract

We give a short topological proof for Ruberman's Theorem about mutation and volume, using the Maskit combination theorem and the homology of the linear group.

Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a faithful representation with discrete, torsion-free image, and let $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}^3$ be the associated orientable, hyperbolic 3-manifold. We assume that Γ has finite covolume, that is $vol(M) < \infty$.

Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded, incompressible, boundary-incompressible, 2-sided surface. Let $\tau : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism. We will denote by M^τ the result of cutting M along Σ and regluing via τ.

Ruberman's Theorem ([9, Theorem 1.3]) states that for some specific pairs (Σ, τ), for example for the hyperelliptic involution of the genus 2 surface, one always has that M^τ is hyperbolic and $vol(M^\tau) = vol(M)$.

The pairs (Σ, τ) considered in [9] have in common that τ has finite order and that for each ρ as above the representation $\rho\mid_{\pi_1\Sigma} \circ \tau_* : \pi_1\Sigma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ is conjugate in $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ to $\rho\mid_{\pi_1\Sigma}$, see [9, Theorem 2.2].

As indicated in [9, Theorem 4.4] this is actually the only specific property of the pairs (Σ, τ) which is needed. That is, the conclusion of M^τ being hyperbolic and having the same volume as M does actually hold for all pairs (Σ, τ) such that $\rho\mid_{\pi_1\Sigma} \circ \tau_*$ is conjugate in $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ to $\rho\mid_{\pi_1\Sigma}$ (and such that Σ is not a virtual fiber).

The proof in [9] uses hard results about minimal surfaces. As Ruberman points out in [9, Theorem 4.4], an alternative proof is possible using Bers' Theorem about the deformation space of quasifuchsian groups, yet another hard analytical theorem.

The aim of this paper is to give a purely topological proof of Ruberman's result which does not use any facts from analysis, but rather a simple version of the Maskit combination theorems and group-homological arguments. (The combination theorems have a purely topological proof in [7], although nowadays there exist also analytical proofs using minimal surfaces or harmonic maps.)

Theorem 1: *Let M be a compact, orientable, connected 3-manifold with (possibly empty) boundary. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a lift of a faithful representation $\Gamma \rightarrow Isom^+\left(\mathbf{H}^3\right) = PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with discrete, torsion-free image. Assume that $\rho(\Gamma) \backslash \mathbf{H}^3$ has finite volume and is diffeomorphic to $int(M) = M - \partial M$.*

Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded, connected, incompressible, boundary-incompressible, 2-sided surface which is not a virtual fiber.

Let $\tau : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of pairs such that $\tau^m = id$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and such that there exists some $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with $\rho(\tau_* h) = A\rho(h) A^{-1}$ for all $h \in \pi_1\Sigma$.

Then $int\left(M^{\tau}\right)$ *is hyperbolic and* $vol\left(M^{\tau}\right)=vol\left(M\right)$*.*

The proof of $vol\left(M^{\tau}\right)=vol\left(M\right)$ will follow an argument analogous to the proof of [8, Theorem 2.13] but will use the constructions from [5, Section 4] to handle the case of manifolds with several cusps (where relative group homology does not directly apply).

1 Preliminaries

Let M be an orientable, complete, hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume and Σ a properly embedded, 2-sided surface. Let $\Gamma=\pi_1 M$. (We assume without further mention M and Σ to be connected and we omit basepoints from the notation.) The monodromy has image in $Isom^{+}\left(\mathbf{H}^3\right)=PSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$. By Culler's Theorem [4, Corollary 2.2] the monodromy comes from a representation $\rho:\Gamma\rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ and we will henceforth assume such a representation ρ to be fixed.

Let $\tau:\Sigma\rightarrow\Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism. Throughout the paper we will work with the following assumption on $\left(\Sigma,\tau\right)$:

Assumption 1. *There exists some* $A\in SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ *with*

$$\rho\left(\tau_* h\right)=A\rho\left(h\right)A^{-1}$$

for all $h\in\pi_1\Sigma$*.*

An obvious example where this condition is satisfied, is the case that Σ is totally geodesic and τ is an isometry of the induced metric. In this case one can upon conjugation assume that $\rho\left(\pi_1\Sigma\right)\subset SL\left(2,\mathbf{R}\right)$ and then A is an elliptic element in $SL\left(2,\mathbf{R}\right)\subset SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$.

Less obvious examples are provided by [9, Theorem 2.2] which asserts that Assumption 1 holds whenever $\left(\Sigma,\tau\right)$ is what [9] calls a symmetric surface, for example if Σ has genus 2 and τ is an hyperelliptic involution, or for certain symmetries of the 3- or 4-punctured sphere or the 1- or 2-punctured torus. For these symmetric surfaces $\left(\Sigma,\tau\right)$, Assumption 1 holds regardless how Σ is embedded (as an incompressible, boundary-incompressible surface) into a hyperbolic 3-manifold M.

Let M^{τ} be the result of cutting M along Σ and regluing via τ. Since Σ is a 2-sided, properly embedded surface, it has a neighborhood $N\simeq\Sigma\times\left[0,1\right]$ in M, and a neighborhood $N^{\tau}\simeq\Sigma\times\left[0,1\right]$ in M^{τ}. The complements $M-int\left(N\right)$ and $M^{\tau}-int\left(N^{\tau}\right)$ are diffeomorphic and we let X be the union of M and M^{τ} along this identification of $M-int\left(N\right)$ and $M^{\tau}-int\left(N^{\tau}\right)$. The union of N and N^{τ} yields a copy of the mapping torus T^{τ} in X.

Let $\pi_1 M=<S\mid R>$ be a presentation of $\pi_1 M$. The Seifert-van Kampen Theorem implies

$$\pi_1 X=<S,t\mid R,tht^{-1}=\tau_*\left(h\right)\ \forall\ h\in\pi_1\Sigma>.$$

If Assumption 1 holds, then we have a well-defined representation

$$\rho_X:\pi_1 X\rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$$

by $\rho_X(s) = \rho(s)$ for all $s \in S$ and $\rho_X(t) = A$.

Composition with the homomorphisms $\pi_1 M \to \pi_1 X$ and $\pi_1 M^\tau \to \pi_1 X$ induced by the inclusions yields the given representation ρ of $\pi_1 M$ and a representation ρ^τ of $\pi_1 M^\tau$. We will show in the Section 2 that under certain hypotheses (namely that Σ is incompressible, boundary-incompressible and not a virtual fiber) the representation ρ^τ will be discrete and faithful and therefore M^τ is a hyperbolic manifold (although X is not).

For use in Section 2 we mention that the Seifert-van Kampen Theorem also allows a description of $\pi_1 M^\tau$ (as a subgroup of $\pi_1 X$ with the above presentation), where we have to distinguish the cases whether the surface Σ is a separating surface in M or not.

If an incompressible, 2-sided surface Σ separates M into two submanifolds M_1 and M_2, then $\pi_1 M = \pi_1 M_1 *_{\pi_1 \Sigma} \pi_1 M_2$ is the amalgamated product of $\pi_1 M_1$ and $\pi_1 M_2$ over $\pi_1 \Sigma$ for two monomorphisms $\phi_1 : \pi_1 \Sigma \to \pi_1 M_1, \phi_2 : \pi_1 \Sigma \to \pi_1 M_2$. For the mutation M^τ we obtain that $\pi_1 M^\tau$ is the amalgamated product of $\pi_1 M_1$ and $t \pi_1 M_2 t^{-1}$ amalgamated over $\pi_1 \Sigma$ via the monomorphisms $\psi_1 : \pi_1 \Sigma \to \pi_1 M_1, \psi_2 : \pi_1 \Sigma \to \pi_1 M_2$ defined by

$$\psi_1(h) = \phi_1(\tau_* h), \psi_2(h) = t\phi_2(h) t^{-1}$$

for all $h \in \pi_1 \Sigma$.

If an incompressible, 2-sided surface Σ does not separate M, then there is a manifold N with $\partial N = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ such that M is the quotient of N under some diffeomorphism $f : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$. We assume the basepoint x_0 to belong to Σ_1, let u be some path in N from x_0 to $f(x_0)$, let $K_1 = \pi_1 \Sigma_1$ and let $K_2 \subset \pi_1 N$ the subgroup of all based homotopy classes of loops of the form $u * \sigma * \overline{u}$, where $\sigma : [0,1] \to \Sigma_2$ with $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = f(x_0)$. Then $\pi_1 M = \pi_1 N *_\alpha$ is the HNN-extension of $\pi_1 N$ for the two monomorphisms $\phi_1 : K_1 \to \pi_1 N, \phi_2 : K_2 \to \pi_1 N$ induced by the inclusions and the isomorphism $\alpha : K_1 \to K_2$ defined by $\alpha([\sigma]) = [u * f(\sigma) * \overline{u}]$, where $[\sigma]$ is the based homotopy class of $\sigma : [0,1] \to \Sigma_1$ with $\sigma(0) = \sigma(1) = x_0$. Let v be the extending element. For the mutation M^τ we obtain that $\pi_1 M^\tau = \pi_1 N *_{\alpha\tau_*}$ is the HNN-extension of $\pi_1 N$, with extending element vt, for the same monomorphisms ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and the isomorphism $\alpha\tau_* : K_1 \to K_2$.

We mention that X clearly is not a manifold, let alone a hyperbolic one. In fact, the representation ρ_X is not faithful and its image is not torsion-free, as the following elementary observation shows.

Observation 1. *Let $\rho : \Gamma \to SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a discrete, faithful representation of a torsion-free group, let $M = \rho(\Gamma) \backslash \mathbf{H}^3$ be the associated hyperbolic 3-manifold, and let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded, incompressible, boundary-incompressible surface. Assume that Σ is not boundary-parallel.*

Let $\tau : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a diffeomorphism such that $\tau^m = id$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and such that there exists some $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with $\rho(\tau_* h) = A \rho(h) A^{-1}$ for all $h \in \pi_1 \Sigma$.

Then $A^m = \pm \mathbf{1}$.

Proof: We have $A^m \rho(h) A^{-m} = A^{m-1} \rho(\tau_* h) A^{-(m-1)} = \ldots = \rho(\tau_*^m h) = \rho(h)$ for all $h \in \pi_1 \Sigma$, thus A^m conjugates $\rho(\pi_1 \Sigma)$ to itself.

If Σ is not boundary-parallel, then the image of $\pi_1\Sigma$ in $Isom^+\left(\mathbf{H}^3\right)$ contains at least two non-commuting hyperbolic elements. The corresponding matrices in $\rho\left(\pi_1\Sigma\right) \subset SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ are then diagonalisable with respect to two distinct bases.

A matrix that conjugates a diagonalizable 2-by-2-matrix (with 2 distinct eigenvalues) to itself must be diagonalizable with respect to the same basis. Thus the conjugating matrix $A^m \in SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ must be diagonal with respect to two distinct bases. This implies, by elementary linear algebra, that A^m is a multiple of the identity. Together with $det\left(A^m\right)=1$ this enforces $A^m = \pm\mathbf{1}$. *QED*

2 Discreteness of recombinations

Let M be an oriented (and connected) compact 3-manifold with boundary such that its interior $int\left(M\right) = M - \partial M$ is hyperbolic. The hyperbolic structure is given by the conjugacy class of a representation $\pi_1 M \rightarrow PSL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$ which by Culler's Theorem [4, Corollary 2.2] is the composition of a representation $\rho : \pi_1 M \rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$ with the canonical projection $PSL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$. For a diffeomorphism $\tau : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ we let $\rho^\tau : \pi_1 M^\tau \rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$ be the representation defined in Section 1.

Proposition 1. *If $\Sigma \subset M$ is a properly embedded, connected, incompressible, boundary-incompressible, 2-sided surface, which is not a virtual fiber, and if Assumption 1 holds for a diffeomeorphism $\tau : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, then $\rho^\tau\left(\pi_1 M^\tau\right)$ is a discrete subgroup of $SL\left(2,\mathbb{C}\right)$. In particular, $int\left(M^\tau\right)$ is hyperbolic.*

Proof: Since Σ is incompressible, boundary-incompressible and not a virtual fiber, $H := \rho\left(\pi_1\Sigma\right)$ is geometrically finite by the Thurston-Bonahon Theorem[1]. This implies that the limit set $W = \Lambda\left(H\right) \subset \partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3$ is a quasi-circle, in particular a Jordan curve. The Schönflies theorem implies that W decomposes $\partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3 \cong \mathbf{S}^2$ into two topological disks.

We distinguish the cases that Σ is separating in M (and thus in M^τ) or not. We wish to apply the Maskit Combination Theorems [7, Chapter VII] and have to check that their assumptions hold for $\rho^\tau\left(\pi_1 M^\tau\right)$.

Case 1: Σ is separating. Then we obtain from Section 1 that $G = \rho\left(\pi_1 M\right)$ is an amalgamated product $G = G_1 *_H G_2$ with $G_1 = \rho\left(\pi_1 M_1\right)$ and $G_2 = \rho\left(\pi_1 M_2\right)$. The complement of the limit set $\partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3 - W$ consists of two open topological disks B_1, B_2 such that B_i is precisely H-invariant in G_i for $i = 1, 2$, that is

$$h\left(B_i\right) \subset B_i \ \ \forall\, h \in H$$

$$g\left(B_i\right) \subset B_{3-i} \ \ \forall\, g \in G_i - H.$$

To apply the first Maskit combination theorem we have to check that the analogous condition is satisfied for the decomposition of $G^\tau := \rho^\tau\left(\pi_1 M^\tau\right)$ as amalgamated product of G_1 and AG_2A^{-1} over H.

---

[1] The Thurston-Bonahon Theorem is a combination of results in [6],[10],[1]. An explicit statement can be found in [3, Theorem 1.1] or [2, Corollary 8.3].

We already know that $h(B_i) \subset B_i$ for $h \in H$ and $g(B_1) \subset B_2$ for $g \in G_1 - H$. We have to check that $AgA^{-1}(B_2) \subset B_1$ for $g \in G_2 - H$.

Assumption 1 implies that conjugation by $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ maps H to H, thus A and A^{-1} map the limit set $W = \Lambda(H)$ to itself. Since A is orientation-preserving it must then also map B_1 to B_1 and B_2 to B_2. Hence $g(B_2) \subset B_1$ implies $AgA^{-1}(B_2) \subset B_1$ for $g \in G_2 - H$.

The first combination theorem implies then that G^τ is discrete.

Case 2: Σ is non-separating. Then we obtain from Section 1 that $G = \rho(\pi_1 M)$ is an HNN-extension $G = G_0 *_\alpha$ of $G_0 := \rho(\pi_1 N)$ for some isomorphism $\alpha : H \to H_2$, where $H := \rho(K_1) = \rho(\pi_1 \Sigma_1), H_2 := \rho(K_2)$.

Let $f = \rho(v) \in G$ be the extending element of the HNN-extension $G = G_0 *_\alpha$ and let $W_2 = f(W) \subset \partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3$. Then W and W_2 are disjoint simple closed curves and the complement $\partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3 - (W \cup W_2)$ consists of two open topological disks B_1, B_2 and an open annulus R such that B_i is precisely H_i-invariant in G_0 for $i = 1, 2$ and, moreover,

$$f(R \cup B_2) = B_2.$$

To apply the second Maskit combination theorem we have to check that the analogous conditions are satisfied for the description of $G^\tau := \rho^\tau(\pi_1 M^\tau)$ as an HNN-extension $G_\tau = G_0 *_{\alpha\tau_*}$ for the isomorphism $\alpha\tau_* : H \to H_2$, with extending element $\rho(vt) = fA$.

This is clear for the first condition: we already know that B_i is precisely H_i-invariant in G_0.

Assumption 1 implies again that A and A^{-1} map the limit set $W = \Lambda(H)$ to itself. Since A is orientation-preserving it must then also map B_1 bijectively to B_1 and $R \cup B_2$ bijectively to $R \cup B_2$. In particular $f(R \cup B_2) = B_2$ implies

$$fA(R \cup B_2) = B_2.$$

The second combination theorem implies then that G^τ is discrete.

QED

One may wonder whether it is possible to apply the topological combination theorems ([7, Theorem VII.A.12.,C.13.]) directly to the actions on $\mathbf{H}^3$ rather than to the actions on $\partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3$. Letting $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbf{H}^3 \to \mathbf{H}^3$ be the "lift" of τ defined as the lift of the isometry $\phi : \rho(\pi_1 \Sigma) \backslash \mathbf{H}^3 \to \rho(\pi_1 \Sigma) \backslash \mathbf{H}^3$ from [9, Lemma 2.5.] this would require to have a $\tilde{\phi}$-invariant copy of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ in $\mathbf{H}^3$. The main part of the argument in [9] actually consists in providing such a $\tilde{\phi}$-invariant surface, using heavy machinery from the theory of minimal surfaces. The advantage of using the combination theorem for the action on $\partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3$ instead of the action on $\mathbf{H}^3$ is that one can avoid this machinery.

3 Volume of mutations

3.1 Recollections

We recollect some constructions from [5, Section 4] which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1, in particular to handle the case of disconnected boundary, where relative group homology does not directly apply.

Let (X,Y) be a pair of topological spaces. Assume that X is path-connected and Y has path-components $Y_1,\ldots,Y_s$. Let $\Gamma=\pi_1(X,x)$. Using pathes from y_i to x one can fix isomorphisms $l_i:\pi_1(Y_i,y_i)\rightarrow\Gamma_i$ to subgroups $\Gamma_i\subset\Gamma$ for $i=1,\ldots,s$.

As in [5, Section 4.2.1], we will denote by $DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}Y_i\rightarrow X\right)$ the union along Y of X with the *disjoint* cones over $Y_1,\ldots,Y_s$. Let $\Psi_X: X\rightarrow\mid B\Gamma\mid$ be the classifying map for $\pi_1 X$. (We consider $B\Gamma$ as a simplicial set and denote $\mid B\Gamma\mid$ its geometric realization.)

As in [5, Definition 7] we denote $B\Gamma^{comp}=DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}B\Gamma_i\rightarrow B\Gamma\right)$, that is the quasi-simplicial set which is the union along $\cup_{i=1}^{s}B\Gamma_i$ of the simplicial set $B\Gamma$ and the cones $Cone\left(B\Gamma_i\right)$ over $B\Gamma_i$ with cone point c_i for $i=1,\ldots,s$. Ψ_X extends to a continuous map $\Psi_X: DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}Y_i\rightarrow X\right)\rightarrow\mid B\Gamma^{comp}\mid$. If X and $Y_1,\ldots,Y_s$ are aspherical, then composition of $\left(\Psi_X\right)_*$ with the isomorphism $\iota: H_*\left(\mid B\Gamma^{comp}\mid\right)\rightarrow H_*^{simp}\left(B\Gamma^{comp}\right)$ yields the inverse of the Eilenberg-MacLane isomorphism:

$$\iota\circ\left(\Psi_X\right)_*=EM^{-1}: H_*\left(DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}Y_i\rightarrow X\right)\right)\rightarrow H_*^{simp}\left(B\Gamma^{comp}\right).$$

In particular, if M is a compact, connected, oriented, aspherical manifold with aspherical boundary $\partial M=\partial_1 M\cup\ldots\cup\partial_s M$, then there is for $*\geq 2$ a canonical isomorphism[2] $H_*\left(M,\partial M\right)\cong H_*\left(DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}\partial_i M\rightarrow M\right)\right)$ and we obtain the isomorphism ([5, Lemma 8])

$$EM^{-1}: H_*\left(M,\partial M\right)\rightarrow H_*^{simp}\left(B\Gamma^{comp}\right).$$

Now assume that $int\left(M\right)=M-\partial M$ is hyperbolic and $\rho:\Gamma\rightarrow SL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ is a representation defining the hyperbolic structure. As in [5, Definition 6] let

$$BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp}=DCone\left(\dot{\cup}_{c\in\partial_\infty\mathbf{H}^3}BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)\rightarrow BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)\right),$$

where each of the cone points of the disjoint copies of $Cone\left(BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)\right)$ is identified with a point $c\in\partial_\infty\mathbf{H}^3$.

Assume that $vol\left(M\right)<\infty$. Then for each $i=1,\ldots,s$ there is a unique $c_i\in\partial_\infty\mathbf{H}^3$ with $\Gamma_i\subset Fix\left(c_i\right)$. Therefore $B\rho: B\Gamma\rightarrow BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)$ can be extended to

$$B\rho: B\Gamma^{comp}\rightarrow BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp}$$

by mapping the cone point of $Cone\left(B\Gamma_i\right)$ to the cone point $c_i\in\partial_\infty\mathbf{H}^3$ of $BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp}$ with $\Gamma_i\subset Fix\left(c_i\right)$.

In [5, Section 4.2.3] we defined a cocycle $\overline{cv_3}\in C_{simp}^3\left(BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp};\mathbf{R}\right)$ whose cohomology class $\left[\overline{cv_3}\right]$, by the proof of [5, Theorem 4], satisfies

$$<\left[\overline{cv_3}\right],\left(B\rho\right)_* EM^{-1}\left[M,\partial M\right]>=vol\left(M\right).$$

In particular, $vol\left(M\right)$ is determined by the element

$$\left(B\rho\right)_* EM^{-1}\left[M,\partial M\right]\in H_3^{simp}\left(BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp};\mathbf{Q}\right).$$

---

[2] An explicit realisation of this isomorphism is as follows. Let $z\in Z_*\left(M,\partial M\right)$ be a relative cycle, then $\partial z\in Z_{*-1}\left(\partial M\right)$ and thus $z+Cone\left(\partial z\right)\in Z_*\left(DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s}\partial_i M\rightarrow M\right)\right)$ is a cycle. This induces an isomorphism in homology.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. *Let M be a compact, orientable, connected 3-manifold with boundary ∂M. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a lift of a faithful representation $\Gamma \rightarrow Isom^{+}\left(\mathbf{H}^3\right) = PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with discrete, torsion-free image. Assume that $\rho(\Gamma) \backslash \mathbf{H}^3$ has finite volume and is diffeomorphic to $int(M) = M - \partial M$.*

Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a properly embedded, connected, incompressible, boundary-incompressible, 2-sided surface which is not a virtual fiber.

Let $\tau : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of pairs such that $\tau^m = id$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and such that there exists some $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ with $\rho(\tau_* h) = A\rho(h) A^{-1}$ for all $h \in \pi_1 \Sigma$.

Then $int(M^\tau)$ is hyperbolic and $vol(M^\tau) = vol(M)$.

Proof: We have proved in Proposition 1 that M^τ is hyperbolic, that is the representation $\rho^\tau : \pi_1 M^\tau \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ is faithful and has discrete image.

Let X be constructed as in Section 1 and let $\partial X = X \cap (\partial M \cup \partial M^\tau)$. Let $\Gamma^X := \pi_1 X$ and let $\rho_X : \Gamma^X \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ be the representation, defined in Section 1, with $\rho_X \mid_\Gamma = \rho$ and $\rho_X(t) = A$.

The construction of X implies that

$$i_{M*}[M, \partial M] - i_{M^\tau *}[M^\tau, \partial M^\tau] = i_{T^\tau *}[T^\tau, \partial T^\tau] \in H_3(X, \partial X; \mathbf{Q}), \qquad (1)$$

where $i_M, i_{M^\tau}, i_{T^\tau}$ are the inclusions of M, M^τ and the mapping torus T^τ into X, and $[M, \partial M], [M^\tau, \partial M^\tau], [T^\tau, \partial T^\tau]$ are the fundamental classes in homology with $\mathbf{Q}$-coefficients.

We observe that the path-components $\partial_1 M, \ldots, \partial_s M$ of ∂M are in 1-1-correspondence with the path-components $\partial_1 M^\tau, \ldots, \partial_s M^\tau$ of ∂M^τ and with the path-components $\partial_1 X, \ldots, \partial_s X$ of ∂X. To each path-component $\partial_j \Sigma$ of $\partial\Sigma$ there is some path-component $\partial_{i_j} M$ of ∂M with $\partial_j \Sigma \subset \partial_{i_j} M$. The path-components $\partial_j \Sigma$ are in 1-1-correspondence with the path-components $\partial_j T^\tau$ of T^τ, and we have then $\partial_j T^\tau \subset \partial_{i_j} X$.

We choose the base point x of $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, x)$ to belong to $M \cap M^\tau \cap T^\tau$, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ the base point x_i of $\pi_1(\partial_i M, x_i)$ to belong to $\partial_i M \cap \partial_i M^\tau \cap \partial T^\tau$, and for all j the base point y_j of $\pi_1(\partial_j T^\tau, y_j)$ to belong to $\partial_j T^\tau \cap \partial_{i_j} M \cap \partial_{i_j} M^\tau$.

Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Recall that a path p_i from x_i to x yields an isomorphism $l_i : \pi_1(X, x_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$. Let $\Gamma_i^X = l_i(\pi_1(\partial_i X, x_i)) \subset \Gamma^X := \pi_1(X, x)$, that is Γ_i^X is an isomorphic image of $\pi_1(\partial_i X, x_i)$ in Γ^X. We can choose the path p_i in $M \cap M^\tau$, thus if $i_{\partial_i M *} : \pi_1(\partial_i M, x_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\partial_i X, x_i)$ is induced by the inclusion $i_{\partial_i M} : \partial_i M \rightarrow \partial_i X$, then $j_{\partial_i M} l_i \mid_{\pi_1(\partial_i M, x_i)} = l_i i_{\partial_i M *}$, where $j_{\partial_i M} : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \Gamma_i^X$ is the inclusion. An analogous fact holds by replacing M with M^τ.

For each $y_j \in \partial_j T^\tau \cap \partial_{i_j} M \cap \partial_{i_j} M^\tau$ we can choose a path from y_j to x_{i_j} in $\partial_{i_j} M \cap \partial_{i_j} M^\tau$. This yields an isomorphism l_j from $\pi_1(\partial_j T^\tau, y_j)$ to a subgroup of $\pi_1\left(\partial_{i_j} M, x_{i_j}\right)$. Composition of l_j with l_{i_j} yields an isomorphism from $\pi_1(\partial_j T^\tau, y_j)$ to a subgroup Γ_j^T of Γ. The same pathes can be used to construct an isomorphism from $\pi_1(\partial_j T^\tau, y_j)$ to a subgroup of Γ^τ, and both images are then by construction the same subgroup of Γ^X.

By Section 3.1 $B\rho$ extends to a simplicial map $B\rho : B\Gamma^{comp} \rightarrow BSL(2, \mathbf{C})^{comp}$ where the cone point over $B\Gamma_i$ is mapped to the (unique) c_i with $\Gamma_i \subset Fix(c_i)$. However

$B\rho_X : B\Gamma^X \to BSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ does not extend to $\left(B\Gamma^X\right)^{comp}$ in such a way that restriction to $B\Gamma^{comp}$ would give back $B\rho$. Indeed in general there is no $c_i \in \partial_\infty \mathbf{H}^3$ with $\rho_X\left(\Gamma_i^X\right) \subset Fix(c_i)$. (This is because $\rho_X(t) = A$ is not parabolic but elliptic.) Therefore we have to[3] go to a finite cover as follows.

Let $\pi_1 X = < S, t \mid R, tht^{-1} = \tau_*(h)\ \forall\ h \in \pi_1\Sigma >$ be the presentation from Section 1. Then

$$a(t) = 1, a(s) = 0\ \forall\ s \in S$$

yields a well-defined, surjective homomorphism

$$a : \pi_1 X \to \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}.$$

Let $\pi : \widehat{X} \to X$ be the $2m$-fold cyclic covering with $\Gamma^{\widehat{X}} := \pi_1\left(\widehat{X}, \hat{x}\right) \cong ker(a)$ for some $\hat{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ we let $\partial_i\widehat{X} \subset \widehat{X}$ be the preimage of $\partial_i X$, we fix the preimage $\hat{p}_i$ of the path p_i ending at $\hat{x}$, and use $\hat{p}_i$ to define the isomorphism from $\pi_1\partial_i\widehat{X}$ to a subgroup $\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}} \subset \Gamma^{\widehat{X}}$. Then $\pi(\hat{p}_i) = p_i$ implies $\pi_*\left(\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}}\right) \subset \Gamma_i^X$.

$\widehat{X}$ contains a copy of $\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1$ which is a $2m$-fold covering of T^τ. Let $\widehat{M} = \pi^{-1}(M), \widehat{M^\tau} = \pi^{-1}(M^\tau)$. Since $a\mid_{\pi_1 M}$ is trivial, $\widehat{M}$ consists of $2m$ copies of M. If Σ is separating, then $a\mid_{\pi_1 M^\tau}$ is trivial, thus also $\widehat{M^\tau}$ consists of $2m$ copies of M^τ. If Σ is non-separating, then $a\mid_{\pi_1 M^\tau}$ is surjective, thus $\widehat{M^\tau}$ is a connected $2m$-fold covering of M^τ.

Consider the transfer map $tr : H_*(X, \partial X; \mathbf{Q}) \to H_*\left(\widehat{X}, \partial\widehat{X}; \mathbf{Q}\right)$ of the finite covering $\widehat{X} \to X$. Application of the transfer map to Equation 1 yields

$$i_{\widehat{M}*}\left[\widehat{M}, \partial\widehat{M}\right] - i_{\widehat{M^\tau}*}\left[\widehat{M^\tau}, \partial\widehat{M^\tau}\right] = i_{\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1*}\left[\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1, \partial\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1\right] \tag{2}$$

in $H_3\left(\widehat{X}, \partial\widehat{X}; \mathbf{Q}\right)$, where i_* denotes the respective inclusions into $\widehat{X}$.

Composition of $\pi_* : \pi_1\widehat{X} \to \pi_1 X$ with the representation $\rho_X : \pi_1 X \to SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ yields a representation $\rho_{\widehat{X}} : \Gamma^{\widehat{X}} \to SL(2,\mathbf{C})$. By Observation 1 we have $A^m = \pm\mathbf{1}$, thus $A^{2m} = \mathbf{1}$. Recall that $\rho_X(t) = A$, thus $\rho_{\widehat{X}}\left(t^{2m}\right) = A^{2m} = \mathbf{1}$.

The Seifert-van Kampen Theorem implies that $\pi_*\left(\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}}\right)$ is generated by t^{2m} and elements of Γ_i. Since $\rho_X\left(t^{2m}\right) = A^{2m} = \mathbf{1}$ this implies $\rho_{\widehat{X}}\left(\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}}\right) = \rho_X(\Gamma_i) \subset Fix(c_i)$. Thus we can extend

$$B\rho_{\widehat{X}} : \left(B\Gamma^{\widehat{X}}\right)^{comp} \to BSL(2,\mathbf{C})^{comp},$$

by mapping the cone point over $B\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}}$ to c_i, such that $B\rho_{\widehat{X}}Bj = B\rho$ for the homomorphism of pairs $j : (\Gamma, \Gamma_i) \to \left(\Gamma^{\widehat{X}}, \Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}}\right)$ induced by the inclusion $i : \widehat{M} \to \widehat{X}$.

We do not know whether X and $\widehat{X}$ are aspherical or not, but we do have the classifying map $\Psi_{\widehat{X}} : \widehat{X} \to \mid B\Gamma^{\widehat{X}} \mid$, whose restriction to $\partial_i\widehat{X}$ is (upon a homotopy) the classifying map $\partial_i \widehat{X} \to \mid B\Gamma_i^{\widehat{X}} \mid$ and which therefore extends to

---

[3] If M is a closed manifold, then one can use $B\rho_X$ as in [8, Theorem 2.13] and does not need to go to a finite cover.

$$\Psi_{\widehat{X}} : DCone\left(\cup_{i=1}^{s} \partial_i \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}\right) \to \mid \left(B\Gamma^{\widehat{X}}\right)^{comp} \mid .$$

Let $P_1 : \Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1 \to \Sigma$ be the projection to the first factor, then

$$\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\Sigma} P_1\right) \sim \left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1}\right) : DCone\left(\cup_j \partial_j \Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1 \to \Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1\right) \to \mid BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp} \mid$$

are homotopic since $\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and $\partial_j \Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1$ are aspherical and since the induced homomorphisms between fundamental groups agree because $\rho_{\widehat{X}}$ sends the generator t^{2m} of $\pi_*\left(\pi_1 \mathbf{S}^1\right) \subset \pi_*\left(\pi_1\left(\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1\right)\right)$ to $A^{2m} = \mathbf{1}$.

Since

$$\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1}\right)_* = \left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\Sigma} P_1\right)_* : H_3\left(\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1, \partial\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1\right) \to H_3\left(\mid BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp} \mid\right)$$

factors over $H_3\left(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma\right) = 0$ we obtain

$$\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\Sigma\times\mathbf{S}^1}\right)_* \left[\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1, \partial\Sigma \times \mathbf{S}^1\right] = 0.$$

Thus Equation 2 implies

$$\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\widehat{M}}\right)_* \left[\widehat{M}, \partial\widehat{M}\right] = \left((\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\widehat{M}^\tau}\right)_* \left[\widehat{M}^\tau, \partial\widehat{M}^\tau\right] \in H_3\left(\mid BSL\left(2,\mathbf{C}\right)^{comp}; \mathbf{Q} \mid\right). \qquad (3)$$

Let $\widehat{M_1}$ be the path-component of $\widehat{M}$ with $\hat{x} \in \widehat{M_1}$, let $i_{\widehat{M_1}} : \widehat{M_1} \to \widehat{X}$ be the inclusion and $I_1 : M \to \widehat{M_1}$ the homeomorphism inverse to $\pi \mid_{\widehat{M_1}}$. Let $j_1 = \left(i_{\widehat{M_1}} I_1\right)_* : \Gamma \to \Gamma^{\widehat{X}}$ be the induced homomorphism, then we have $\rho = \rho_{\widehat{X}} j_1$ and therefore

$$\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\widehat{M_1}} I_1\right)_* = \left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid\mid Bj_1 \mid \Psi_M\right)_* = \left(\mid B\rho \mid \Psi_M\right)_* = \left(\mid B\rho \mid\right)_* \iota^{-1} EM^{-1} = \iota^{-1} \left(B\rho\right)_* EM^{-1}$$

(where $\iota : H_*\left(\mid . \mid\right) \to H_*^{simp}\left(.\right)$ denotes the isomorphism between singular and simplicial homology).

If $\widehat{M_l}$ is another path-component of $\widehat{M}$, then we have a deck transformation $\sigma_l : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}$ with $\sigma_l\left(\widehat{M_1}\right) = \widehat{M_l}$. We denote $I_l = \sigma_l I_1$ and obtain by the same computation $\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\widehat{M_l}} I_l\right)_* = \iota^{-1}\left(B\rho\right)_* EM^{-1}$. If Σ *is separating*, then the same argument applies to the path-components of $\widehat{M^\tau}$: let $\widehat{M_1^\tau}$ be the path-component of $\widehat{M^\tau}$ with $\hat{x} \in \widehat{M_1^\tau}$, let $I_1^\tau : M^\tau \to \widehat{M_1^\tau}$ be the homeomorphism inverse to $\pi \mid_{\widehat{M_1^\tau}}$ and $I_l^\tau = \sigma_l I_1^\tau$, then we obtain $\left(\mid B\rho_{\widehat{X}} \mid \Psi_{\widehat{X}} i_{\widehat{M_l^\tau}} I_l^\tau\right)_* = \iota^{-1}\left(B\rho^\tau\right)_* EM^{-1}$.

We have $\left[\widehat{M}, \partial\widehat{M}\right] = \sum_{l=1}^{2m} I_{l*}\left[M, \partial M\right]$ and, if Σ is separating, also $\left[\widehat{M^\tau}, \partial\widehat{M^\tau}\right] = \sum_{l=1}^{2m} I_{l*}^\tau\left[M^\tau, \partial M^\tau\right]$.

Thus Equation 3 implies

$$2m\left(B\rho\right)_* EM^{-1}\left[M, \partial M\right] = 2m\left(B\rho^\tau\right)_* EM^{-1}\left[M^\tau, \partial M^\tau\right]$$

if Σ is separating, respectively

$$2m\left(B\rho\right)_{*}EM^{-1}\left[M,\partial M\right]=\left(B\hat{\rho}^{\tau}\right)_{*}EM^{-1}\left[\widehat{M}^{\tau},\partial\widehat{M}^{\tau}\right]$$

with $\hat{\rho}^{\tau}:=\rho_{\widehat{X}}\left(i_{\widehat{M}^{\tau}}\right)_{*}$ if Σ is non-separating. (In the latter case $\widehat{M}^{\tau}$ was connected.)

By Section 3.1 we have

$$<\left[\overline{cv_3}\right],\left(B\rho\right)_{*}EM^{-1}\left[M,\partial M\right]>=vol\left(M\right),$$

$$<\left[\overline{cv_3}\right],\left(B\rho^{\tau}\right)_{*}EM^{-1}\left[M^{\tau},\partial M^{\tau}\right]>=vol\left(M^{\tau}\right),$$

and, if Σ is non-separating,

$$<\left[\overline{cv_3}\right],\left(B\hat{\rho}^{\tau}\right)_{*}EM^{-1}\left[\widehat{M}^{\tau},\partial\widehat{M}^{\tau}\right]>=vol\left(\widehat{M}^{\tau}\right)=2mvol\left(M^{\tau}\right)$$

.

Hence $vol\left(M\right)=vol\left(M^{\tau}\right)$.

QED

Remark: The assumption that Σ is not a virtual fiber is needed "only" for the proof that M^{τ} is hyperbolic, that is for the application of Proposition 1.

If Σ is a virtual fiber then M^{τ} may or may not be hyperbolic. For example, if $M=T^{\alpha}$ is a mapping torus of $\alpha:\Sigma\rightarrow\Sigma$, then M^{τ} is the mapping torus of $\alpha\circ\tau:\Sigma\rightarrow\Sigma$. By Thurstons hyperbolization theorem, the mapping torus T^{α} is hyperbolic if and only if α is pseudo-Anosov. However, if α is pseudo-Anosov and τ is of finite order, then $\alpha\circ\tau$ may or may not be pseudo-Anosov, so there is no general statement whether M^{τ} is hyperbolic or not.

Examples for both phenomena can be easily found in $SL\left(2,\mathbf{Z}\right)$, the mapping class group of the once-punctured torus. Here Anosov diffeomorphisms correspond to hyperbolic elements in $SL\left(2,\mathbf{Z}\right)$ and finite order diffeomorphisms correspond to elliptic elements in $SL\left(2,\mathbf{Z}\right)$. One easily finds hyperbolic elements A_1,A_2 and elliptic elements B_1,B_2 such that A_1B_1 is hyperbolic while A_2B_2 is not.

However, if M^{τ} happens to be hyperbolic, then the proof of Theorem 1 shows that $vol\left(M^{\tau}\right)=vol\left(M\right)$ even if Σ was a virtual fiber.

References

[1] F. Bonahon, 'Bouts des variétés hyperboliques de dimension 3', *Ann. of Math. (2)* 124, pp.71-158 (1986).

[2] R. Canary, 'A covering theorem for hyperbolic 3-manifolds and its applications', *Topology* 35, pp.751-778 (1996).

[3] D. Cooper, D. D. Long, A. W. Reid, 'Bundles and finite foliations', *Invent. Math.* 118, pp.255-283 (1994).

[4] M. Culler, 'Lifting representations to covering groups', *Adv. in Math.* 59, pp.64-70 (1986).

[5] T. Kuessner, 'Locally symmetric spaces and K-theory of number fields', http://xxx.uni-augsburg.de/abs/0904.0919

[6] A. Marden, 'The geometry of finitely generated Kleinian groups', *Ann. of Math. (2)* 99, pp.383-462 (1974).

[7] B. Maskit, 'Kleinian groups', Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 287, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988).

[8] W. Neumann, 'Realizing arithmetic invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds', *Interactions Between Hyperbolic Geometry, Quantum Topology and Number Theory*, pp.233-246, *Contemp. Math.* 541, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[9] D. Ruberman, 'Mutation and volumes of knots in S^3', *Invent. Math.* 90, pp.189-215 (1987).

[10] W. P. Thurston, 'The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds', http://library.msri.org/books/gt3m/